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II Summary / Overview

The following report summarizes a conference put on by the Canadian Studies program at the University of California at Berkeley, between February 28 and March 2, with the support of the Canadian Government, through the international affairs branch of the Ministry of Canadian Heritage and the Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada, International and Area Studies at the University of California at Berkeley, and The Foundation for Educational Exchange Between Canada and the United States of America (The Canada-US Fulbright Program). This conference represents one part of an ongoing project on trade and culture that is being undertaken by the Canadian Studies program under the direction of Dr. Michael Hawes.

This document includes the report of the conference rapporteur, the conference program, the speakers list (along with the requisite biographical sketches), information on the project (and conference) homepages and some notes on support and sponsorship.

The conference itself was the key inaugural event of the project, it played a critical role in moving Canadian Studies at Berkeley forward, and it allowed for new long-term academic, business and community associations to emerge and take shape. The program, along with the principals, are indebted to the Government of Canada and the University of California at Berkeley for making this possible. As a practical matter, the ongoing support and input of officials at Canadian Heritage in Hull, the Consul General’s office in Los Angeles, and the Canadian Consulate in San Francisco was enormously important and deeply appreciated.

III Rapporteur’s Report

Rapporteur’s Report

Globalization, Trade & Culture Conference, February 28-March 2
Pamela Stern, Department of Anthropology, University of California, Berkeley

Kim Campbell presented the opening keynote address to an invited audience of some fifty-five persons, representing academic, business, and government interests and the local community. Former Prime Minister Campbell’s opening address set out to identify some of the key issues that animate the current debate on globalization, paying particular attention to the question of cultural and the increasingly important notion of identity. She provided a thoughtful and nuanced assessment of the increasingly difficult relationship between trade and culture and, in so doing, set the stage for the conference.

The first session took place in the Gifford Room at Kroher Hall. It focused on the issue of Globalization, Trade and Culture and featured former Canadian Consul General in Los Angeles and past Director of the Canadian Centre for Trade Policy and Law Dennis Browne and University of Utah political science professor Patricia Goff.

The main focus was to address the question of whether trade agreements should provide specific treatment for cultural goods and services?

According to Browne, the answer is yes. Browne maintained that Globalization, a process driven by massive changes in communication technology, has caused the disaggregating of the production across national borders and that this requires attention to issues of “culture” in international trade agreements. "Free trade" may be an ideal of international trade, but it is an emotionally burdened term—in part—because of concerns with “culture”. Actual Free Trade does not exist and cannot exist. In practice, all governments regulate trade at points of manufacture, exchange, and consumption. He made a strong argument in favor of free trade, based on the following points: every nation gains from participation in the international economy, these gains are optimized to the extent that each actor is able to do what they do best, firms trade while governments regulate that trade, and, [democratic] governments must seek a balance between longer term economic benefits and the political requirements of non-economic needs (i.e., culture).
He argued further that the proponents of culture benefit by working within trade agreements, but there is an absence of a neatly articulated agenda to protect or even clearly define national culture. If governments want to ensure that citizens have reasonable access to domestic cultural expression without barring imports, the best approach is through multi-lateral trade agreements in general and the WTO in particular. General bilateral agreements and issue specific agreements are also possible, but less useful. In order for trade agreements to protect culture, domestic regulations on cultural content must protect cultural expression rather than ownership or financial stakes.

Patricia Goff presented a paper entitled ‘Irreconcilable Differences? Protecting Culture in a Culture of Anti-Protectionism’. She began by asking why culture is on the trade agenda at all, arguing that cross-border flows naturally create fears concerning cultural homogenization. Her talk focused on several issues, including the question of whether globalization is new or merely accelerated? She argued that the most important new aspects include the changing role of the WTO, the fact that NGOs are increasingly concerned with trade and culture, and the reality that multi-national corporations thought by some to signal the weakening of the state. The argument in favor of ‘accelerated globalization’ includes the fact that national level policy makers are still the key decision makers in the trade arena.

In the end, her argument rested on the assumption that we would be well advised to think of globalization as a particular state of “late capitalism”. Globalization, whether new or merely accelerated, has shown that it is necessary to decouple the terms “nation” and “state” and to understand why people want to do this. The state has a key role to play as an arbiter, but the autonomy of any democratic state is necessarily limited. The goals of the state are necessarily an evolving, moving target. Because of extremely high immigration, Canada, as a nation, relies heavily on cultural industries (and has a history of public support) to provide and reinforce a national and cultural identity that is uniquely Canadian. The problem arises because the financial mission of cultural industries conflicts with cultural mission that the nation wishes to impose on these same industries. As a consequence it is necessary to have a much broader concept of cultural industries than entertainment/media. In particular should include cultural practices.

The discussion on this session was led by Robert Jackson, the panel chair and political science professor from the University of Redlands. He noted that we need to agree on definitions of globalization culture and come to some sort of understanding with respect to how we know when we win. Hal Wilensky (a political science professor from UC Berkeley) asked how effective efforts to control content could ever be. He believes in content controls, in principle, but is skeptical of the ability of any state to actually control content. Goff noted that commitments to democracy interfere with the ability of the state to control content; therefore effectiveness is limited. A recent international meeting of Culture Ministers tried to create a set of norms for cultural diversity. The focus was on supply rather than demand. Browne suggested that Canada does and can regulate content on TV and radio. This policy / strategy has been quite successful in the promotion of a domestic music industry but less successful with respect to film.

Ann Marie Mitchell (a librarian in the University of California system) asked about Quebec. She asked about the concern with the protection of Quebecois culture or Francophone culture? Can the viewer identify origin? Browne noted that protections are indeed aimed at Quebecois culture and that, for example, laws in Quebec demand that television and films be dubbed into Quebecois French.

Peter Grant (a communications attorney from Toronto and SAGIT member) stated that content rather than ownership is the key issue. He went on to say that we still need investment rules for small companies (like publishers) where ownership determines content. Goff suggested that this is an example of corporate logic rather than national logic. Browne responded by stating that government can reward content rather than restrict ownership. Wilensky reiterated his observation that ownership does count, but we should be concerned with public vs. private ownership rather than large vs. small players.

Terry Simmons (a geographer & attorney from Reno) started a new point, suggesting that there is a fundamental contradiction with “this” definition of culture. Much of culture is not economic at all. Goff agreed that narrow definitions of culture put us at risk of missing the things that are actually important to people/nations. Larissa Muller (a regional planner from Berkeley) agreed. According to her, we need to
broaden the definition of culture. We should also consider the built culture/architecture. Ownership does not always matter with respect to corporate citizenship. Multi-nationals are often supporters of local cultures. Goff responded by noting that states are able to define culture broadly and that there is an emerging International Network of Cultural Policy which is attempting to develop lists of cultural products/practices. Browne noted that the GATS provides for international standards for professional services such as architecture. Culture cannot be frozen. Governments should not impose cultural standard on professional services.

Peter Dale Scott (a writer from Berkeley) noted that we also need to view this issue from the perspective of the United States, in particular from the vantage point of the state department that contends that US firms have the right to market their products everywhere and anywhere. Browne disagreed, noting that there is no monolithic position within the United States. Diversity is okay, but not as a disguise for protectionism. Goff suggested that different nations mobilize different arguments with respect to trade and culture. The United States tends to mobilize a market access argument.

Michael Hawes (political scientist from Queen’s University), returning to the issue of public vs. private ownership, noted it is not entirely clear that public broadcasters play an entirely educational or symbolic role. Can trade agreements work so as not to privilege private, commercial entities? Browne said that yes they could. Grant stated that broadcasting is not privileged within the WTO, but that the EU does address the protection of public broadcasting.

Michel Laguerre, Sociology Professor and Director of the Berkeley Center For Globalization and Information Technology at the University of California presented a keynote speech after lunch entitled “Diasporic Globalization: Reframing the Local/Global Question”. His speech traced globalization to the Jewish diaspora in the 2nd Century, but argued that currently globalization subsumes a multiplicity of concerns about the economic, political, and ideological and does so from a very ‘current’ perspective. Diasporic globalization includes the recent transnational migration to the United States and Canada. These are quite similar in nature. Globalization and relocation occur simultaneously. There is no single definition of a transnational/cosmopolitan place. The Global does not exist apart from the local. We need to distinguish between: a global ethnopol, a global pan-ethnopol, a global chronopol, a global technopol, global creolopol.

Professor Laguerre’s remarks sparked a great deal of discussion. A number of members of the audience asked about the term “minoritized” and wondered by what mechanism minoritized people/groups can become members of the majority? Laguerre noted that in the United States race matters. Since 1965 (at the height of the civil rights movement) the legitimization of minorities has come to represent the conquest of the majority as the cultural and social ideal. Peter Dale Scott asked whether “diaspora” requires a crisis as a catalyst? Laguerre responded by noting that the definition of diaspora is broader now and has come to include an exiled community with an imagined or a real homeland. Ted Magder (a professor of communications at NYU) asked whether there is a global structure and cultural integration between majority and minorities. Laguerre conceded that, to a limited extent, there is.

The second formal session focused on ‘the Canadian Question’ (panel two) and on the implications of cultural policy on Canada-US Relations (panel three).

The first speaker in panel two was Barbara Motzney, who is Director of Cultural Policy Initiatives in the International Affairs Division at Canadian Heritage addressed the question of the international cultural diversity agenda and the quest of the Canadian government for a new International Instrument on Cultural Diversity. She noted that the Canadian approach to cultural diversity is that culture is more than media issue: it includes pluralism and choice. Her presentation was driven by her articulation of the following assumptions. Cultural diversity has broad international appeal, but as yet there is no coherent international agenda. The natural evolution of technology and the market place is insufficient to sustain diversity. Therefore it is necessary to develop an international agreement for the promotion/protection of local/national/sub-national culture. She also noted that cultural diversity has a different definition depending on the forum. Canada’s aim is to: build security and civic participation, strengthen diverse cultural participation, create prosperity, and address particular needs of the developing world.
The second speaker on this panel was Peter Grant (a communications attorney at McCarthy Tétrault and a member of the Canadian Cultural Sectoral Advisory group). Mr. Grant made a presentation entitled The Evolution of Trade Rules for Cultural Products and Goods. His presentation focused primarily on legal issues and Canadian participation in international organizations. He argued that the evolution of international trade rules is culminating in an effort to develop rules for the internationalization of cultural goods and services. These are not aimed at protecting the status quo, but rather the notion that nations develop rules that make sense according to their own rationale. He stressed the widening gap between international law, and attending norms, and national preferences and priorities.

The discussion that ensued focused on the question of what governments could or should do to achieve cultural diversity and promote particular values and priorities. Goff asked how will we know when we have achieved cultural diversity and whether our “tests” will change over time. Robert Jackson wanted to know, “realistically”, what is the likelihood of governments achieving their goals in the face of changing technological realities. Grant noted that this problem would differ from country to country. The proposed instrument gives broad rights to individual states to decide what heritage/culture to protect or subsidize and how to deal with technological challenges. The problem is most pronounced in highly developed countries. Grant also noted that at present the international mood is open to government regulation, but for how long is an open question.

Pamela Stern (an anthropologist at UCB and the conference rapporteur) asked whether Canada’s view of its moral position in the world caused it to take a leadership role on the international protection of cultural diversity? Others wondered whether political personalities were an issue. Motzney suggested that the matter was largely driven by Canada’s proximity to the United States. Grant agreed that it is mainly a practical issue as opposed to a moral position. Browne added that his understanding is that Canada has traditionally understood cultural diversity to mean cultural diversity within its borders.

The first speaker in panel three was Colin Robertson, the Consul General of Canada in Los Angeles. He used a highly image-intensive power point presentation to drive home the message that the US culture industry is dominated by two realities: image and technology. He noted that entertainment is the main US export and certainly a key element in the California economy. He suggested further that there is currently both horizontal and vertical integration in the information industries. This is worrying for a number of reasons. The impression is that technology makes all things possible. Canada views culture as part of sovereignty whereas the United States sees culture as part of financial capital and, in the end, sees cultural industries as industries and not vehicles for values. Robertson concluded by suggesting that Canada should do a better job promoting its domestic industries to Canadians.

The last speaker of the afternoon was Ted Magder, the Director of the Communications Studies program at NYU. His presentation was titled ‘Runaway Production and Split-run Magazine Case’. According to Magder, and contrary to popular belief, the United States does have a policy on culture and on cultural industries. The primary distinction between US and Canadian policy has to do with the difference between culture as a store of value (and values) and culture as an industry. Canadian law prohibits the importation of split-run magazines where advertising is the sole content difference, but Time Warner attempted to avoid this prohibition by electronically transporting the content of an issue of Sports Illustrated. A runaway production refers to US domestic culture produced abroad. Profit margins in the film and television are currently less than 9%. US entertainment industries do production work in Canada, mainly for cost related reasons. Canada has been particularly successful in capturing this work. The result in the United States, however, is a disgruntled labor force. To complicate matters even more, the multi-national nature of production and distribution make it nearly impossible to definitively label products as belonging to one nation or another. In the end, the problem is a political one, a practical one, and an ideological one.

During the discussion period Robertson suggested that the Magder thesis was driven too much by a mercantilist approach. One could argue that there are no runaway productions, just a Canadian niche labor market and an exchange rate situation that favors Canadian locations. Browne argued further that the United States has a cultural promotion strategy that Canada might do well to copy. Goff noted that the proposed Cultural Instrument presumes continued Hollywood domination of cultural production.
On a completely different note, a long discussion emerged from Robertson's observation that international immigration aids multiculturalism and that cultural policy will increasingly be driven by immigration policy.

The final session, on the morning of March the 2nd, focused on the relationship between culture and technology. Michael Hawes (a political economist from Queen's University and the conference convener) presented a paper entitled "The Final Frontier: Technology and its Fundamental Challenge to Culture and Cultural Industries". This presentation focused on the larger systemic challenges presented by technology and on the increasing difficulty of national governments in coming to terms with these technological challenges. The process of globalization, according to Hawes, logically presents challenges to the preservation of indigenous (i.e., local) cultural values. It is very difficult to establish public policy to protect cultural values, in part, because culture, technology, and globalization are all diffuse, poorly understood concepts. Any realistic attempt to come to terms with this problem requires an improved understanding of the challenges posed to economies, governance, interest groups and society at large.

Hawes suggested that there are varying views of the relationship between culture and technology. Some theorists, like Samuel Huntington and Francis Fukuyama see culture as the servant of technology while others suggest that the post-nationalist/post Cold War world allows that technology IS culture. Hawes questions both of these positions, suggesting that globalization is much more complicated than either of these views suggests and that it involves the integration of an international economy AND shifting political identities and realities. In terms of Canada, be concluded with the observation that Canadian cultural policy has historically had a "content bias," but intellectual property is an increasingly contested concept made more complex by technological innovation and practical limitations.

The second speaker was Janet Creery, the Associate Coordinator of the International Network for Cultural Diversity, who approached the problem from the societal level and the point of view of non-governmental organizations. Her talk, titled Mobilizing in the Matrix, argued that localization could be viewed as a network or as domination. The mission of her organization is to fight hegemonic processes in cultural production/distribution by multinational corporations AND by protectionist government policies. Creery runs an international network of small artists and cultural producers. She sees both promise and concern with new electronic technologies and media. Lower costs of consumption and production enable easier communication between internationally disparate producers/consumers, but, at the same time, it better enables big conglomerates of squash upstarts. In the end, she sees the seeds of hope in consumer tastes which will resist homogenization and technology driven culture.

The final speaker was Charles Darrah, an anthropologist at San Jose State University and Director of the Silicon Valley Cultures project. His presentation, on Technology and Culture Creation in Everyday Life: A View from Silicon Valley, approached the problem from the personal or individual level of analysis. He presented a compelling view of how people actually use technology. People use technology is the course of solving the ordinary problems of their lives, but technology itself does not dictate the course of people's lives. Ethnographic research among families in San Jose indicates that the workplace rather than technology a much more prominent presence in people's lives. Workplace metaphors such as 'management' and 'efficiency' get superimposed on the intimate matters of family cultural life.

The discussion in this session was both far-reaching and open-ended. It began with a question to Hawes about technology and the implications of a "crisis-prone" international financial system? Hawes suggested that the conventional wisdom is that IT would solve all these crises, yet the evidence suggests otherwise. Geff pressed Creery to address what outcome her network seeks to achieve. Creery stated that their priorities lay in support for the greatest possible diversity. She stated that "We see trade agreements as filled with traps that eviscerate the protections they contain". Rita Ross (an anthropologist and Vice Chair of Canadian Studies at UC Berkeley) asked Darrah whether he could comment on what the 'techies' see as their place in the world? Darrah responded by stating that many people have fantasies of amassing huge wealth and then dropping out to run a bed and breakfast, but that there are huge sectoral/cohort differences. Some have a fascination with the hip nature of their industry and imagine that prosperity extends to everyone while others are lost in the technology.
The discussion proceeded to touch on electronic communication, new forms of affiliation, new forms of interaction, new definitions and redefinitions of culture, and the increasing blurring of the work world and one’s personal life.

By way of summary, though not conclusion, although the underlying assumption of the conference was that culture is something worthy of protection, the conference participants were unable to reach a consensus regarding what is exactly they mean by culture or what it needs to be protected from. Is culture a national treasure to promote and protect or is the key to protect international cultural diversity from the commercially predatory practices of multinational cultural industries? Is concern about culture merely a proxy for concern about financial and economic domination? Or is there genuine concern that certain commercial actors have the power to dominate the cultural arena purposely or as a by-product of their business activities? The one thing that everyone seemed to agree on is the importance of culture and the need to pay a good deal more attention to the whole issue of trade, technology and culture.

IV Conference Program

Wednesday February 28 6:30 for 7, Opening Dinner (By Invitation), Heyns Room, UCB Faculty Club
Keynote Speaker: The Right Honourable Kim Campbell
Fellow at the Center for Public Leadership, Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University; Chair, Council of Women World Leaders; and, former Prime Minister of Canada

Thursday March 1

9:45 Welcoming Remarks: Dr. Nelson Graburn, UC Berkeley, Anthropology & Canadian Studies

10:00 – 12:00 Session I: Globalization, Trade and Culture, The Gifford Room, 221 Kroeber Hall.
Chair: Dr. Robert Jackson, Department of Government, University of Redlands
Mr. Dennis Browne, Centre for Trade Policy and Law, Carleton University
‘Should Trade Agreements Provide Specific Treatment for Cultural Goods and Services?’
Dr. Patricia Goff, Department of Political Science, University of Utah
‘Irreconcilable Differences? Protecting Culture in a Culture of Anti-protectionism’

12:00 – 1:30 Lunch The Gifford Room, 221 Kroeber Hall
Keynote Speaker: Dr. Michel Laguerre
Director, Berkeley Center for Globalization and Information Technology
‘Diasporic Globalization: Reframing the Local/Global Question’

2:00 – 5:15 Session II: Canada, Cultural Industries, Cultural Policy and Canada-US Relations
The Gifford Room, 221 Kroeber Hall.
Chair, Dr. Rita Ross, UC Berkeley, Canadian Studies

2:00 - 3:30 (A) The Canadian Response
Ms. Barbara Motzney, Director, Cultural Diversity Initiatives, Canadian Heritage
‘Cultural Diversity: Toward a New International Cultural Instrument’
Mr. Peter Grant, Senior Partner, McCarthy, Tetrault Barristers and Solicitors

Coffee Break

3:45-5:15 (B) Implications for Canadian-American Relations
Chair, Dr. Michael K. Hawes, Department of Political Studies, Queen’s University
Mr. Colin Robertson, Consul General of Canada in Los Angeles
'The Maple Leaf Forever: A View from Hollywood'

Dr. Ted Magder, Director, Communication Studies, New York University
'The Paradoxes of Policy in the Age of Globalization: Lessons from the Cultural Industries'

Friday March 2

10 – 12 Session III: Culture, Technology and the Coming Clash
The Goldberg Room, 297 Simon Hall (Boalt School of Law)

Panel Discussion: The New Cultural Industries and the Great Technology Divide
Chair: Dr. Nelson Graburn, Anthropology/Canadian Studies, UC Berkeley

Ms. Janet Creery, Canadian Conference for the Arts, Ottawa.
'Mobilizing in the Matrix'

Dr. Chuck Darrah, Department of Anthropology, San Jose State University
'Technology and Culture Creation in Everyday Life: A View from Silicon Valley''

Dr. Michael K. Hawes, Department of Political Studies, Queen's University
'The Final Frontier: Technology and its Fundamental Challenge to Culture and Cultural Industries'

12 pm Wine and Cheese: Concluding Remarks, Dr. Michael Hawes

V Speakers List / Biographical Sketches of the Speakers

A Keynote Speaker- Opening Address

Avril Phaedra (Kim) Campbell attended the University of British Columbia, earning an honours degree in political science. After an academic career in BC, she studied law at UBC. In September 1985 she joined BC Premier William Bennett's office as a policy advisor. In May 1986 Campbell ran in the provincial election and won a seat in the legislature, representing the riding of Vancouver/Point Grey. She served in the provincial legislature until October 1988 when she resigned her seat to contest the federal riding of Vancouver Centre. An ardent defender of free trade, Campbell joined the junior ranks of Prime Minister Mulroney's cabinet with the Indian Affairs and Northern Development portfolio. In 1990 Campbell was promoted to the Attorney General and Justice post; in January of 1993 she was named Minister of Defence and became a candidate in the Conservative leadership contest that year. On June 13, 1993, she was elected leader on the second ballot and on June 25, she was sworn in as Canada's 19th Prime Minister and the first woman to hold that post.

Ms. Campbell was the Consul General for Canada in Los Angeles from 1996 until September of 2000, where she was a tireless advocate for Canada and brought a Canadian perspective to bilateral and international issues. A long-standing champion of women's rights, Ms. Campbell is the current Chair of the Council of Women World Leaders - women who have been a president or prime minister of their country. She is currently a Fellow at the Center for Public Leadership in the Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University.

B Keynote Speaker- Luncheon Address

Michel S Lagaerre is Professor and Director of the Berkeley Center For Globalization and Information Technology at the University of California at Berkeley. He is a member of the Executive Committee of the College of Letters and Science and held in 1993/1994 the Barbara Weinstock Lectureship on the Morals of Trade. In 1998, he served as a consultant to the European Parliament. He has published 14 books among them "The Informal City", "Diasporic Citizenship", "Minoritized Space: An Inquiry into the Spatial Order of Things" and "The Global Ethnopolis: Chinatown, Japantown and Manilatown in American Society". He
is completing a new volume entitled "The Global Digital City: Silicon Valley and the Information Revolution".

C Conference Presenters

Dennis Browne is a Senior Associate of NorDen Consulting Inc. and Senior Training Associate of the Centre for Trade Policy and Law at Carleton University, which he directed from September 1996 through August 2000. During that time, he edited The Culture/Trade Quandary: Policy Options for Canada, and published several articles dealing with trade and culture. Mr. Browne also had 31 years' experience as a Trade Commissioner and senior executive with Foreign Affairs and International Trade and its predecessor departments. In addition to being Canada's Ambassador to Sweden from 1988 to 1991 and Consul General in Los Angeles from 1994 to 1996, he served in various trade-related diplomatic assignments in Oslo, London, Canberra, Moscow, and Washington, DC. During a series of headquarters assignments, he held a number of managerial positions relating to trade and economic policy and trade development. Mr. Browne received his Bachelor of Commerce and his Bachelor of Laws degrees from the University of British Columbia and his Master of Laws degree, specializing on international trade regulation, from the University of Ottawa (in 1994).

Patricia Goff is assistant professor of political science at the University of Utah. She holds a Ph.D. from Northwestern University and a Diplôme d'études approfondies from the University of Paris. Her article on aspects of the tension between culture and trade, entitled "Invisible Borders: Economic Liberalization and National Identity," appeared in the December 2000 issue of International Studies Quarterly.

Peter S. Grant is a Senior Partner at McCarthy Tétrault, Canada's largest law firm, and the head of its Communications and Entertainment Law Group. A prize-winning graduate of the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto, Mr. Grant was called to the Ontario Bar in 1969. Mr. Grant has pioneered the field of communications law in Canada, and his practice is substantially devoted to this field, including broadcasting and cable television, pay and specialty programming services, multimedia, copyright collectives, and cultural industries, both in Canada and abroad. Mr. Grant is the author of numerous articles and publications, including Canadian Communications Law and Policy, a sourcebook on statutes, treaties and judicial decisions published by the Law Society of Upper Canada in 1988, and Canadian Broadcasting Regulatory Handbook, the fifth edition of which was published by McCarthy Tétrault in April 2000. In the period from 1974 to 1978, Mr. Grant served on the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC). Mr. Grant has also acted as a consultant to UNESCO, Paris, on the Declaration on the Role of the Mass Media. He was a member of the Canadian delegation to UNESCO, Paris in October-November, 1974, and the Canadian delegation to the G-7 Ministerial Conference on the Information Society in Brussels in February 1995. He is a past National Chairman of the Media and Communication Section of the Canadian Bar Association, and a former Chairman of the CBA Special Committee on Freedom of Information. Mr. Grant is a member of the Sectoral Advisory Group on International Trade (SAGIT) for Cultural Industries, which advises the Canadian Minister of International Trade. In that capacity, he co-authored a recent report entitled Canadian Culture in a Global World: New Strategies for Culture and Trade?, which can be found on the Internet, at www.infoexport.gc.ca/trade-culture.

Barbara Motzney is currently Director, Cultural Diversity Initiatives, International Affairs Branch of the Department of Canadian Heritage. This recently created group serves as a focal point within the federal government for the development of an international instrument on cultural diversity, and as Secretariat to the International Network on Cultural Policy. Prior to this position, Barbara was Director, International Strategy and Collaboration with the Electronic Commerce Task Force at Industry Canada. She was responsible for Canada's involvement in the policy and conference documents for the Ottawa OECD Ministerial Conference on Electronic Commerce and was actively engaged in a range of multilateral and bilateral activities, including lead responsibility for the WTO Work Programme on electronic commerce. Barbara worked for a number of years at Canadian Heritage as the Senior Policy Advisor, Information Society with the broadcasting Policy Branch. Barbara holds a B.A. in financial studies and economics from the University of Western Ontario, and an MBA from Concordia University.
Colin Robertson is the Canadian Consul General in Los Angeles. He received his B.A.H. from the University of Manitoba (1976) and his M.A. (International Affairs) from the Norman Paterson School of International Affairs at Carleton University (1978). After joining the Department of External Affairs in 1977, he served at the Permanent Mission to Canada at the United Nations (1977) and then at the Consulate General in New York (1978-81). He was a member of the team that negotiated the Free Trade Agreement with the United States (1985-87) and later was Coordinator of the NAFTA implementing legislation (1992-3) and the Canadian NAFTA Communications Coordinator (1998-2000). He is co-author of Decision at Midnight: An Inside Account of the Free Trade Negotiations (UBC, 1996).

He has had many postings in the department, including Counselor and Consul to the Canadian Commission in Hong Kong (1987-92), where he was instrumental in the creation of the annual Canada-Hong Kong Film Festival and the negotiation of the Canada-Hong Kong Film and Television Co-Production Agreement. He has also worked at the Department of Citizenship and Immigration (1994-96), where he was Director General of Public Affairs, and the Treasury Board Secretariat (1996-98) he was Director General of Public Affairs. He returned to the Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade in 1998 as Senior Advisor for Trade Communications and was subsequently appointed Director General of Communications. He was appointed Consul General for Canada in Los Angeles in September of 2000. The consular territory includes California, Nevada, Arizona, Utah, Hawaii and Guam.

Ted Magder is Associate Professor of Media and Ecology at New York University. For the last four years, he was the Director of Communication Studies at NYU. He is the author of Canada's Hollywood: Feature Films and the Canadian State and Franchising the Candy Store: Split-Run Magazines and a New International Regime for Trade in Culture. Magder has also published numerous articles on the political economy of the cultural industries and the international trade in media products. Born in Toronto, Magder was the Director of the Mass Communication Programme at York University from 1987 to 1996. He co-teaches a NYU-University of Amsterdam summer abroad course on media globalization. In 1991, Magder won the Distinguished Teacher of the Year award in the Faculty of Arts at York University. In 1986 Magder was a post-doctoral fellow at the University of Westminster's Centre for Communication and Information Studies in London, England.

Janet Creery is the Associate Coordinator of the International Network for Cultural Diversity (INCD), whose Secretariat is presently housed at the Canadian Conference of the Arts (CCA). She handles communications and day-to-day operations for this network, whose aim is to unite cultural organizations around the world in resistance to trade agreements and other global forces that impinge on cultural diversity. She represented cultural interests at meetings parallel to Seattle conference of the World Trade Organization (WTO) and helped organize the founding conference of the INCD in September 2000 on the island of Santorini, in Greece. She is now working on the organization of the network's next meeting in Lucerne, Switzerland, and coordinating the participation of network members in events such as the World Social Forum in Porto Alegre and the upcoming World Conference on Racism in South Africa. Before working at the Conference of the Arts, Janet worked as a writer, editor, and manager. For projects and publications concerned with public interest issues in environmental, cultural, social and international policy spheres. She studied Fine Arts at Concordia University in Montreal and New Media at Algonquin College in Ottawa.

Charles N. Darrah is Professor in the Department of Anthropology at San Jose State University in California. He received his PhD from Stanford University, his MA from the University of Alberta, and his MPH and BA from San Jose State University. He has worked collaboratively since 1991 with Drs. English-Lueck and Freeman on issues concerning work, identity and community in high tech regions, involving in depth interviews in high tech companies on the connections between work, family and cultural identity. Together they run the Silicon Valley Cultures Project (which can be accessed at http://www.sjsu.edu/depts/anthropology/svcp). Since 1998 Professor Darrah has also been working on the Impact of emerging technology on global workers, in collaboration Dr. English-Lueck and the Institute for the Future. His scholarly interests include ethnographic research methods; anthropology of work and
organizations; economic anthropology; organizational cultures; workplace literacy and skills; applied anthropology; Work, Identity, and Community in Silicon Valley Project.

Michael Hawes received his BAH from the University of Toronto, his MIA from the Paterson School of International Affairs at Carleton University, and his PhD from York University in Toronto. He has been with the Department of Political Studies at Queen's since 1985 and has held visiting appointments at the University of British Columbia, Tsukuba University in Japan, and the International University of Japan. He recently spent the year at the University of California at Berkeley, where he was the J. William Fulbright Distinguished Professor in International and Area Studies and the John A. Sproul Senior Research Fellow in Canadian Studies. Professor Hawes' research is in the area of comparative economic regionalism, Canadian-American relations, Japanese foreign economic policy, and political culture. He has published widely in the areas of regionalism, East Asian economic policy, North American trade and cultural policies. For further information, please see http://qsilver.queensu.ca/~hawesm.

VI Web Site Address & Home Page Information

The conference, along with the ongoing project on trade and culture, is supported by a continuing web page. It can be accessed through the Canadian Studies site at UC Berkeley http://www.ias.berkeley.edu/canada/gtcffinalreport.html
or directly at http://qsilver.queensu.ca/~hawesm/gtcproject.htm.
The project director is Dr. Michael Hawes. The Associate Director, on site at UC Berkeley, is Dr. Rita Ross. She is the Vice-Chair & Academic Coordinator of the Canadian Studies Program at Berkeley.
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This conference is made possible through the generous support of the Government of Canada. We would like to thank the Department of Canadian Heritage and the Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada. The Canadian Studies program would also like to recognize the ongoing support of International and Area Studies at the University of California at Berkeley. In addition, we would like to express our gratitude to the Foundation for Educational Exchange Between Canada and the United States (The Fulbright Commission) and their support, under the auspices of the Distinguished Chairs program, for supporting Professor Hawes.

Please see our sponsors page, and the relevant hyperlinks, at http://qsilver.queensu.ca/~hawesm/gtcsponsors.htm
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Globalization, Trade and Culture
February 28 – March 02, 2001
U.C. Berkeley

Wednesday, February 28 Heyns Room, UCB Faculty Club
6:30 for 7 p.m. Opening Dinner (by invitation only)
Keynote Speaker: The Right Honourable Kim Campbell
Thursday, March 1 Gifford Room, 221 Kroeber Hall
9:45 Welcoming Remarks: Dr. Nelson Graburn, UC Berkeley, Anthropology; Canadian Studies Program
10:00 – 12 Session I: Globalization, Trade and Culture
Chair: Dr. Robert Jackson, Department of Government, University of Redlands
       Mr. Dennis Browne, Centre for Trade Policy and Law, Carleton University
       Dr. Patricia Goff, Department of Political Science, University of Utah
12:00 – 1:30 Lunch. Keynote Speaker: Michel Laguerre, Director, Berkeley Center for Globalization and Information Technology
2:00 – 5 Session II: Canada, Cultural Industries, Cultural Policy and Canada-US Relations
2:00 - 3:30 The Canadian Response
Chair, Dr. Rita Ross, UC Berkeley, Canadian Studies Program
       Ms. Barbara Motzney, Director, Cultural Diversity Initiatives, Canadian Heritage
       Mr. Peter Grant, Senior Partner, McCarthy, Tétrault Barristers and Solicitors
3:45-5:15 Implications for Canadian-American Relations
Chair, Dr. Michael K. Hawes, Department of Political Studies, Queen’s University
       Mr. Colin Robertson, Consul General of Canada in Los Angeles
       Dr. Ted Magder, Director, Communication Studies, New York University
Friday March 2 Goldberg Room, 297 Simon Hall, Boalt School of Law
10 – 12 Session III: Culture, Technology and the Coming Clash
Panel Discussion: The New Cultural Industries and the Great Technology Divide
Chair: Dr. Nelson Graburn, Anthropology/Canadian Studies, UC Berkeley
       Ms. Janet Creery, Canadian Conference for the Arts, Ottawa.
       Dr. Chuck Darrah, Department of Anthropology, San Jose State University
       Dr. Michael K. Hawes, Department of Political Studies, Queen’s University
12 noon Wine and Cheese: Concluding Remarks, Dr. Michael Hawes

This conference is made possible through the generous support of the Government of Canada through Canadian Heritage and the Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada.
The Canadian Studies Program  
International & Area Studies  
University of California at Berkeley

cordially invites you to join us for dinner in conjunction with the conference

Globalization, Trade and Culture

Keynote Speaker:
The Right Honourable Kim Campbell  
Fellow at the Center for Public Leadership,  
Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University;  
Chair, Council of Women World Leaders;  
and former Prime Minister of Canada

DATE: Wednesday, February 28, 2001  
TIME: 6:30 pm, dinner 7:00 pm  
PLACE: The Faculty Club (Heyns Room)  
U.C. Berkeley campus

RSVP to Rita Ross  
(510) 642-0531 or canada@uclink.berkeley.edu  
by February 23, 2001